

A Review of the Use of Mercury in Historic and Current Ritualistic and Spiritual Practices

L. Charles Masur, MD

Abstract

Mercury (Hg) occurs naturally in the environment and has been used in numerous medicinal, commercial, and industrial applications over many centuries. Also, it has played significant historical, as well as current, ethno-medical and magico-religious roles in a number of different cultures. In recent times, awareness has emerged that exposure to mercury can have serious adverse health consequences. Accompanying this, contamination of the environment by mercury is causing public health and environmental concerns. As a result, major efforts are being undertaken by industry, private organizations, and government agencies to reduce or eliminate the use of and exposure to this toxic element. However, in spite of extensive educational and socio-cultural interventions, the use of mercury in ritualistic and spiritual practices is a continuing cause for concern. (*Altern Med Rev* 2011;16(4):314-320)

Introduction

Mercury (Hg) occurs as the pure elemental substance (e.g., liquid mercury), as inorganic mercury in ores such as cinnabar (HgS, mercuric sulfide) and calomel (Hg₂Cl₂, mercurous chloride), and additionally in compounds such as mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) and mercuric acetate (Hg[O₂CCH₃]₂). It is also found in organic form such as the organometallic cations methyl- and ethyl-mercury ([CH₃Hg]⁺ and [C₂H₅Hg]⁺), respectively, and as the extremely dangerous dimethyl-mercury (CH₃)₂Hg (one of the strongest neurotoxins known).

Elemental mercury is the main form of mercury entering the atmosphere and does so mostly as a vapor through natural processes,¹ such as during geyser and volcanic eruptions. Mercury is also freed into air, water, and soil through

anthropogenic activities, including the refining of mercury-containing ores, the burning of fossil fuels, industrial processes such as the chlorine production, electronics manufacturing, and through mining and any related activities that utilize mercury in metal recovery processes.¹

Mercury has been used in numerous health and industrial applications over the centuries, and has played key roles in the ethno-medical and magico-religious rituals and spiritual practices of many African, Asian, and Latin American cultures. This intriguing metal was mined and used by the early Chinese and has been found in Egyptian tombs. It continues to be a substance of great significance in Hindu religious practices. The Vishnudharmottara Purana, a Hindu text dedicated to the arts, contains a section dated from around the 7th century AD that mentions “red lead” (in Latin mercury ore, cinnabar, is minium or “red lead”) as a source of red pigment² and the Jaina text, the *Citra Kalpadruma*, details the preparation of this pigment.³ Mercury was widely employed as an agent to treat syphilis and, in addition to its use as an antibiotic, has been the active ingredient in many other medicinals, including diuretics, antiseptics, antipruritics, analgesics, and laxatives.⁴ With the advent of the industrial revolution, mercury found its way into a plethora of other health-related, cosmetic, commercial, and industrial applications.

Mercury Toxicity

Over the past half century it has become increasingly apparent that exposure to mercury can have adverse health consequences. This began with the recognition that “pink disease,” or acrodynia, was related to the use of mercury-containing

L. Charles Masur, MD –
Scientific Support Physician,
Doctor's Data, Inc.
Correspondence address:
Doctor's Data, Inc., 3755
Illinois Avenue, St. Charles, IL
60174-2420
Email:
Cmasur@DoctorsData.com

Key words: azogue, mercury, parad, ritual, santeria, spiritual, vidajan, Hg

medications, which eventually resulted in the exclusion of calomel (Hg_2Cl_2) from most teething powders in 1954.⁵

Adverse health consequences due to environmental contamination by mercury were exemplified by the Minamata Bay disaster in 1956 in Japan.⁶ A syndrome of progressive muscular dystrophy, blindness, neurological dysfunction, paralysis, coma, and death was shown to be related to industrial contamination by methyl-mercury of aquatic life in the Minamata Bay area, resulting in the eventual demise of over 2,265 individuals. Also related to this disaster, it was demonstrated that local pregnant women who consumed mercury-contaminated fish gave birth to infants with severe developmental disabilities.⁷

Recently, chronic exposure to mercury, perhaps from environmental sources such as fossil fuel emissions from power plants⁸ or from other sources such as fish consumption, amalgam fillings, fluorescent light bulbs, and vaccines,⁹ has been postulated to be a possible causative factor in the etiology of symptoms of central nervous system dysfunction and renal toxicity.¹⁰ Mercury exposure is also believed by many to play a role in the apparently increasing incidence of developmental disorders, such as autism,¹¹ and in the etiology of learning disabilities,¹² such as attention deficient disorder (ADD) and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Mercury now is clearly recognized as a hazardous chemical that can cause serious health problems,¹³ especially in children and during fetal development.¹⁴ It is known to react with sulfhydryl, phosphoryl, carboxyl, and amide groups, and is a neurodevelopmental toxicant that can adversely affect enzymes, cellular membrane function, and neurotransmitter levels;^{15,16} can increase oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, and mitochondrial dysfunction; and can disrupt synaptic transmission, microtubule formation, amino acid transport, and cellular migration in the developing brain.¹⁷

Mercury Risk Reduction

Through the combined efforts of governmental agencies at the international,^{18,19} national,^{20,21} and local^{22,23} levels, the initiatives of private organizations,²⁴ and the cooperation of industry,²⁵ the non-essential industrial and commercial use of mercury is being markedly reduced. Mercury reduction by the health industry is also notable. The use of the preservative thimerosal, which contains ethyl-mercury, in many vaccines has been

virtually eliminated and now all major U.S.-licensed vaccines, except for tetanus toxoid, are available in “mercury-free” form,²⁶ at least in single-dose vials. Very few medical devices now use mercury, except where absolutely necessary. Public health initiatives aimed at reducing consumption of piscivorous fish, such as tuna, salmon, swordfish, and marlin (well known to concentrate methyl-mercury), have been widely implemented. The non-essential use of mercury amalgam (“silver”) dental fillings and mercury-containing medicinals is well on the way toward being effectively minimized if not eliminated.

The Broad Historical Ethno-Medical, Magico-Religious Perspective

There is evidence that mercury has been used in ethno-medical and magico-religious rituals and spiritualistic practices in China and India since before the historical record,²⁷ and mercury has been found in Egyptian tombs dating back to 1,500 BC.²⁸ Ores such as cinnabar (HgS) and calomel (Hg_2Cl_2) were used by the Chinese in the making of pigments, cosmetics, soaps, and laxatives. The Chinese emperor Shen Nung, considered to be the father of Chinese medicine, authored the 40-volume *Great Herbal or Chinese Materia Medica* around 2735 BC²⁹ and included mercury in his list of drugs. Physicians and scientists in the court of Emperor Qin Shihuang prescribed for him a potion containing powdered jade and mercury that was purported to promote eternal life. Unfortunately for the Emperor, the mercury in the mixture had the opposite of the desired effect and resulted in his death from mercury poisoning in 210 BC.³⁰

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) herbal preparations used today may be contaminated with mercury and/or other metals,³¹ and there have been reports of these treatments resulting in the oral ingestion of up to 1.2 g of mercury per day, probably as HgS .³²

Figure 1 shows the results of an analysis for potentially toxic elements conducted on the hair of a one-year-old girl who was recently adopted from China. By history from the adoptive parents,³³ it was revealed that the child had been treated in China with TCM herbal preparations (it could not be clarified whether or not the elevated levels of antimony, arsenic, lead, and mercury found in the hair sample were present as the result of excretory processes or if they were due to contamination from external sources).

Figure 1. Potentially Toxic Elements Report on a Sample of Scalp Hair from a One-Year-Old Girl

TOXIC ELEMENTS	RESULT $\mu\text{g/g}$	REFERENCE RANGE	68th PERCENTILE	95th PERCENTILE
Aluminum	7.4	<8.0		
Antimony	0.12	<0.066		
Arsenic	0.39	<0.080		
Beryllium	<0.01	<0.020		
Bismuth	0.67	<2.0		
Cadmium	0.41	<0.10		
Lead	3.0	<1.0		
Mercury	0.63	<0.40		

Hinduism, the world's third largest, and perhaps the oldest, living religious tradition,³⁴ has among its roots the Vedic religion, which dates back to India's Iron Age (around 1000 BC). Mercury (*parad*) has long held a prominent role in Hinduism, where it is thought to be the best of all metals and is representative of the seed of Lord Shiva, one of the most popular Hindu deities. When *parad* is amalgamated with silver the solidified material is used in the construction of *lingas* (representations of the phallus of Lord Shiva), *Sri Yantras* (instruments to bring health, wealth, and good fortune), and other magico-religious and ethno-medical items, such as idols of major and minor deities, *malas* (strings of *parad* beads), and *parad* rings.

Ayurvedic medicines, even those in use today, may contain mercury and other metals. One recent study reported that about 29 percent of samples of these medicines (half of which were manufactured in the United States) purchased at random on the internet contained significant levels of one or more metals, including mercury, lead, and arsenic.³⁵ Importantly, there are two common streams of Ayurvedic practice: one using herbal medicines alone and the other (*Rasa Shastra* – the science of minerals; the science of mercury), which intentionally combines herbal medicines with metals (such as mercury, lead, iron, or zinc), minerals (such as mica), and gems (for example, pearls). *Rasa Shastra*

practitioners are adamant that the agents used in these compounds are safe and therapeutic.³⁶

In spite of claims that mercury, lead, and other metals in Ayurvedic “herbal” preparations somehow have been denatured and no longer are toxic, Figure 2 demonstrates that metals either contaminating, or intentionally added to, these preparations still are absorbed by, and excreted in whole or in part from, the body.

New World Religions May Use Mercury in Their Practices

The Lucumi (Lukumi; *La Regla de Lukumi*) religion, which originated with the Yoruba culture in Nigeria, was brought to the Americas with the slave trade.³⁷ It became intertwined with other religions, such as Catholicism in Cuba, Brazil, Haiti, Trinidad, and other South American and Caribbean countries. This culturo-religious co-mingling gave rise to local religions such as Espiritismo (a belief system indigenous to Puerto Rico and other Caribbean territories and countries) and Santeria (a Cuban-based Afro-Caribbean religion that venerates both African deities and Catholic saints).³⁸

Other similar folkloric traditions include Candomble (practiced chiefly in Brazil), Obeah (generally found in the West Indies and other Caribbean countries), Palo (Palo Mayombe, Palo Monte; similar to Santeria and also thought to

Figure 2. Potentially Toxic Metals Report on Urine from a Patient with General Neurotoxicity Symptoms after Taking an Ayurvedic Herbal Preparation

TOXIC ELEMENTS	RESULT $\mu\text{g/g}$ CREAT	REFERENCE RANGE	WITHIN REFERENCE RANGE	ELEVATED	VERY ELEVATED
Aluminum	< dl	<25			
Antimony	< dl	<0.06			
Arsenic	50	<120			
Beryllium	< dl	<0.5			
Bismuth	< dl	<10			
Cadmium	2.6	<2			
Lead	9100	<15			
Mercury	39	<3			

have originated with Central African slaves brought to Cuba), and Voodoo (Vodoun; a combination of Catholicism and African spirit-religion having its origins in Haiti). These New World religions of African origin have herbal-based traditions and may also utilize elemental mercury in some of their ethno-medical and magico-religious rituals and spiritual practices.

Mercury, also known as *azogue* in colloquial Spanish or *vidajan* in Creole, is believed to have magical and curative powers and is prescribed by practitioners (*santeros* or “spirit doctors”) to attract luck, love, money, and good, or to ward off witches and/or evil spirits (*brujos*). The element has been used to treat indigestion (*empacho*) and is taken orally.³⁹ It is one of the seven basic metals of Santeria and is believed to give either protection (*resguardo*) or serve as a strike against a person, bringing them harm and illness (*cantazo*). It has particular significance in the Lucumi religion, where the metal is said to “work” for Elegua (Elegba, Echu) an African Yoruba god and one of *Las Siete Potencias Africanas* (The Seven African Powers) called upon by believers to open paths and remove obstacles.³⁷

The manner of use of *azogue* can vary widely among individual adherents. It can be carried in capsules on the person, worn enclosed in vials in amulets or pendants, and, when enclosed,

generally presents little risk to anyone or to the environment unless the containers are broken. Other more hazardous uses can include oral ingestion,³² sprinkling it on the floor of a home or vehicle, burning it in a candle, mixing it with soaps and detergents, and/or using it as an ingredient in cosmetics and perfumes.^{38,40,41} In addition, there are reports in the literature of adherents injecting it.⁴²

Discussion Personal, Public, and Environmental Health Impact of the Ethno-medical and Magico-religious Ritual and Spiritual Use of Mercury

The number of Santeria followers, and those of similar religions in the United States, is estimated by LaPeter to be 1-4 million,⁴⁰ while others provide estimates of 0.5-5 million.⁷ Large numbers of adherents may be found in areas with greater Latino populations; there is some indication that about 60,000 adherents reside in the state of Florida,⁴⁰ while other estimates place the figure at about 100,000.⁴³ It appears that other states, for example, Massachusetts and New York,^{37,44,45} have segments of their populations with similar religious beliefs and practices.

Currently the United States has no prohibitory legislation against the sale of liquid mercury to the general public. The only regulation is in the form of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act,⁴⁶ requiring

that any mercury sold must have an appropriate hazardous substance warning label attached. This lack of regulation at the individual consumer level is difficult to comprehend in light of the volumes of legislation and regulations regarding mercury as a hazardous substance and as a pollutant. These regulations, however, are directed mainly at its commercial and industrial uses.

Federal legislation and regulatory statements in the United States include the following:⁴⁷

- ◆ The Consumer Product Safety Commission, under the authority of the Federal Hazardous Substance Act, requires cautionary labeling for products containing mercury (the commission has taken action by enforcement letters to major suppliers of mercury to botanical wholesalers – the large number of small distributors makes further enforcement too resource-intensive).
- ◆ Mercury is a hazardous air pollutant under the Clean Air Act.
- ◆ Mercury is a pollutant under the Clean Water Act.
- ◆ There is a safe drinking water mercury standard under the Safe Drinking Water Act.
- ◆ Mercury is regulated by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (work place limit of ≤ 0.1 mg per cm of air in an 8 hour (weighted average) period).
- ◆ Mercury is designated as a hazardous substance under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (with a reportable quantity of 1 lb – a spill may be cleaned up by the Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] to protect health or environment).

It is important to note that, at least in the United States, there are no regulatory limits for mercury in residential air. This is because the EPA has no authority to regulate indoor air quality, except for radon levels.⁴⁷ Consider this lack of regulatory involvement in view of the all-too-common Santeria practice of sprinkling mercury in and around the home and burning it in candles for health, love, luck, and to ward off evil spirits!

Little is known about how the clients of *santeros*, or other practitioners for that matter, acquire *azogue*, but one likely route is through direct purchases at local shops (called *botanicas*, *herboristerias*, or *yerberias* in Hispanic neighborhoods) that sell a variety of herbal remedies and religious items used in folkloric traditions.^{38,48} Capsules of mercury cost \$2-10 each and contain about 10 g of mercury. With increasing access to the internet, liquid mercury may be acquired by mail-order on-line.

Several websites advertising mercury for sale can be easily found. One company (website accessed April 29, 2010) offered a 250-g container (about 1 tablespoon by volume; about 0.5 lb) of “Mercury Metal (quicksilver)” for \$52.95 plus shipping.

The fact that mercury is available for purchase, even in sealed vials, is troublesome. Vials may break and/or may be discarded in the trash and the mercury either contaminates a residence or ends up in sewer systems and/or landfill sites.

Information on the safe disposal of mercury may be hard to find, incorrect, or inconsistent.⁴² This being said, the U.S. EPA does provide advice⁴⁹ on how to properly deal with, and dispose of, spilled mercury, but it is difficult to “drill down” to this information on the EPA web site.

In the United States, the directions for dealing with mercury spills are, generally, as follows:

- ◆ For mercury spills of less than 1 lb. (454 g; about 2 tablespoons), perform cleanup as per EPA guidelines, without vacuuming, and call the local and/or state environmental agency for further advice and disposal (refer to Zayas et al⁴⁹ for details).
- ◆ For spills of a greater magnitude, do not attempt a clean-up; instead it is mandatory to notify the National Response Center (800-424-8802).

Mercury, freely available in botanical medicines and on the internet, is meant by spiritual and magico-religious practitioners to be sprinkled, burned, swallowed, or carried on the person. It is difficult to believe that “small” spills of mercury such as those occurring in residences would be taken seriously by most individuals. In a study in one community in Lawrence, MA,⁴⁴ 344 out of 898 respondents said they or someone they knew had used mercury for religious, spiritual, or health purposes. If each “yes” response was equal to the purchase of just one capsule, about 3 kg of mercury had been sold into that segment of the community.

If swallowed, mercury eventually finds its way into the municipal sewer system. If a capsule is purposely or inadvertently broken, the metal is released, usually into a home or vehicle, and contaminates everything exposed to it and, eventually over years, vaporizes into the air. The volatility and long residence time of mercury indoors create the potential for direct inhalation exposures to individuals; mercury is difficult to remove from home materials and small amounts can lead to contamination for extended periods of time.⁵⁰

Initially, the U.S EPA stated that there is no indication or clinical data to confirm that people who use mercury for cultural and spiritual purposes (and people who share their living space) have elevated mercury levels. However, the EPA did admit that socioeconomic and political barriers can inhibit reporting of health problems related to cultural and spiritual mercury use.⁵⁰ In subsequent documents, the EPA agreed that the ritual use of mercury poses a health risk due to exposure to mercury vapors.⁴⁷ Some private groups, such as the Mercury Poisoning Project,⁵¹ want the private use of mercury in religious and ethno-medical practices to be regulated and, further, want the potential mercury contamination of many homes in the United States to be addressed. The EPA on the other hand⁴⁷ appears to believe that regulation is not necessary and that the issue would be better addressed through education and monitoring. The additional concern of the EPA is that prohibitory regulation would not eliminate the practice of using mercury for religious and ethno-medical purposes, but would drive it underground.

Conclusion

In spite of the major efforts being undertaken to reduce or eliminate the use of, and opportunities for exposure to, mercury, its utilization in ethno-medical and magico-religious rituals and spiritual practices continues. Extensive educational and socio-cultural interventions aimed at curtailing these practices appear to lack significant effect. The adverse health effects of, and the environmental contamination by, these uses of mercury likely will continue to present major personal, public, and environmental health challenges.

References

1. Risher JF. *Elemental Mercury and Inorganic Mercury Compounds: Human Health Aspects*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicads/cicad50.htm> [Accessed October 14, 2011]
2. Kramrisch S. *The Vishnudharmottara Part III: A Treatise on Indian Painting and Image-Making; Second Revised and Enlarged Edition*. Calcutta, India: Calcutta University Press; 1928:15. www.archive.org/stream/vishnudharmottar031493mbp#page/n27/mode/2up [Accessed October 7, 2011]
3. *India Heritage: A Living Portrait of India*. www.indiaheritage.org/science/paint.htm [Accessed December 11, 2008]
4. Aufderheide AC, Rodriguez-Martin C, Langsjoen O. *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Human Paleopathology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1998:320-321.
5. Dally A. The rise and fall of pink disease. *Soc Hist Med* 1997;10:291-304.
6. Jun U. *Industrial Pollution in Japan; Chapter 4 – Minamata Disease*. Tokyo, Japan: UNU Press, United Nations University; 1992. <http://archive.unu.edu/unupress/unupbooks/uu35ie/uu35ie0c.htm> [Accessed October 14, 2011]
7. De La Torre MA. *Santeria: The Beliefs and Rituals of a Growing Religion in America*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans Publishing Company; 2004:xiv.
8. Palmer RF, Blanchard S, Wood R. Proximity to point sources of environmental mercury release as a predictor of autism prevalence. *Health Place* 2008;15:18-24.
9. Clarkson TW, Magos L, Myers GJ. The toxicology of mercury – current exposures and clinical manifestations. *New Engl J Med* 2003;349:1731-1737.
10. Aschner M, Aschner JL. Mercury neurotoxicity: mechanisms of blood-brain barrier transport. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1990;14:169-176.
11. Mutter J, Naumann J, Schneider R, et al. Mercury and autism: accelerating evidence? *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* 2005;26:439-446.
12. Learning Disabilities Association of America. *Mercury & Learning Disabilities: A Parent's Guide*. www.arcmass.org/Portals/0/MercuryLDParentGuide.pdf [Accessed October 14, 2011]
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. *Warning about Continuing Patterns of Metallic Mercury Exposure: National Alert*. <http://www.cdc.gov/media/pressrel/mercury.htm> [Accessed October 14, 2011]
14. Schettler T. Toxic threats to neurologic development of children. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001;109:813-816.
15. Atchison WD, Hare MF. Mechanisms of methylmercury-induced neurotoxicity. *FASEB J* 1994;8:622-629.
16. Sager PR. Selectivity of methyl mercury effects on cytoskeleton and mitotic progression in cultured cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1988;94:473-486.
17. Sager PR, Matheson DW. Mechanisms of neurotoxicity related to selective disruption of microtubules and intermediate filaments. *Toxicology* 1988;49:479-492.
18. United Nations Environment Programme: Chemicals. Summary of Supply, Trade and Demand Information on Mercury. Geneva, Switzerland: UNEP, Chemicals Branch; 2006. www.chem.unep.ch/MERCURY/Trade%20report%20final%20PDF.pdf [Accessed October 14, 2011]
19. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Decision of the Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Programme (Acknowledging the widespread concerns over the serious adverse effects of mercury on human health and the environment and the urgent need for international action). UNEP/GC/24/CW/CRP.11, Nairobi, Kenya; 2007. <http://mpp.clearn.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/08/decision-of-the-24th-united-nations-environment-programme-governing-council.pdf> [Accessed October 27, 2011]
20. Sullivan TM, Lipfert FW, Morris SC, Moskowitz PD. *Potential Health Risk Reduction Arising from Reduced Mercury Emissions from Coal-Fired Power Plants*. Upton, NY: Brookhaven National Laboratory; 2001.

21. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). Canada-Wide Standards of Mercury Emissions (Incineration & Base Metal Smelting): 2010 Progress Report. www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/mercury_incin_bms_2010_progress_rpt_e.pdf [Accessed October 27, 2011]
22. Vermont "Comprehensive Mercury Management" statutes, 10 V.S.A., Chapter 164; 2005:7101-7715.
23. Louisiana Mercury Risk Reduction Act. L.A.R.S.; 2008(30):2571-2588.
24. Mercury Policy Project. Promoting policies to eliminate mercury use and to reduce mercury exposure. New Report Shows Need for Strong FDA Advice on Mercury in Fish. 2008. <http://mercurypolicy.org/?p=526> [Accessed October 14, 2011]
25. Minnesota Power Mercury Voluntary Agreement Submitted to the MPCA, July 6, 2000. www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=336 [Accessed October 14, 2011]
26. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg. Thimerosal Content in Some US Licensed Vaccines, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, August 8, 2008. www.vaccinesafety.edu/thi-table-08-0806.pdf [Accessed December 17, 2008]
27. Walker P, Tarn WH, eds. *CRC Handbook of Metal Etchants*. Boca Raton, LA: CRC Press; 1990:801.
28. Environment Canada. Mercury and the environment – Basic Facts; 2004. <http://www.ec.gc.ca/mercure-mercury/default.asp?lang=En&n=52C466F0-1#bf>. [Accessed October 11, 2011]
29. Hayes AW. *Principles and Methods of Toxicology*. Boca Raton, LA: CRC Press; 2001:6.
30. Wright DC. *The History of China*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group; 2001:49.
31. Espinoza EO, Mann MJ, Bleasdel B. Arsenic and mercury in traditional Chinese herbal balls. *New Engl J Med* 1995;333:803-804.
32. Risher JF, De Rosa CT. Inorganic: the other mercury. *J Environ Health* 2007;70:9-16.
33. Cole R. Personal communication, 2009.
34. Laderman G. *Religion and American Cultures: An Encyclopedia of Traditions, Diversity, and Popular Expressions*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, Inc.; 2003:119.
35. Saper RB, Kales SN, Paquin J, et al. Heavy metal content of Ayurvedic herbal medicine products. *JAMA* 2004;292:2868-2873.
36. Shukla K, Jain V. Heavy Metals in Ayurvedic Formulations – Safety Issues. *Pharm Mag* 2006;Dec:1-4. www.iopraipur.ac.in/download/Karunakar%20Shukla%20Article%20for%20Magazine.pdf [Accessed December 17, 2008]
37. Dwamena N, Jeffery N, Stevenson L. *Metallic Mercury Exposure: A Guide for Health-Care Providers*. New York City Department of Health, Bureau of Environmental and Occupational Disease Prevention. www.nyc.gov/html/doh/downloads/pdf/eode/mercury1.pdf [Accessed November 7, 2008]
38. Wendroff AP. Magico-religious mercury use in Caribbean and Latino communities: pollution, persistence and politics. *Environ Pract* 2005;7:87-96.
39. Geffner ME, Sandler A. Oral Metallic Mercury: A Folk Medicine Remedy for Gastroenteritis. University of Southern California School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Los Angeles, CA. February 1980. www.mercury-poisoning-project.org/pdf/october2003gastroenteritis.pdf [Accessed December 23, 2008]
40. LaPeter L, De La Garza P. Mercury in rituals raises alarms. *St Petersburg Times Online*. January 26, 2004. www.sptimes.com/2004/01/26/Hillsborough/Mercury_in_rituals_ra.shtml [Accessed March 8, 2011]
41. Riley DM, Newby CA, Leal-Almeraz TO, Thomas VM. Assessing elemental mercury vapor exposure from cultural and religious practices. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001;109:779-784.
42. Prasad VL. Subcutaneous injection of mercury: "warding off evil." *Environ Health Perspect* 2004;112:1326-1328.
43. Fausset R. Religious freedom won't be sacrificed. *Los Angeles Times*. August 11, 2008. <http://articles.latimes.com/2008/aug/11/nation/na-santeria11> [Accessed December 23, 2008]
44. JSI Center for Environmental Health Studies. Ritual Use of Mercury (Azogue) Assessment and Education Project. Submitted to the Environmental Justice Office MA Executive Office of Environmental Affairs. August 7, 2003. [www.google.com/#sclient=psy&hl=en&q=JSI+Center+For+Environmental+Health+Studies.+Ritual+Use+of+Mercury+\(Azogue\)+Assessment+and+Education+Project+\(Submitted+to+the+Environmental+Justice+Office+MA+Executive+Office+of+environmental+Affairs&aq=&aqi=&aq=&oq=&pbx=1&bav=on.2.or.&fp=75201d880c1db262](http://www.google.com/#sclient=psy&hl=en&q=JSI+Center+For+Environmental+Health+Studies.+Ritual+Use+of+Mercury+(Azogue)+Assessment+and+Education+Project+(Submitted+to+the+Environmental+Justice+Office+MA+Executive+Office+of+environmental+Affairs&aq=&aqi=&aq=&oq=&pbx=1&bav=on.2.or.&fp=75201d880c1db262) [Accessed March 8, 2011]
45. Johnson C. Elemental mercury use in religious and ethnic practices in Latin American and Caribbean communities in New York City. *Popul Environ* 1999;20:443-453.
46. Federal Hazardous Substances. 15USC1261; 1994:1261-1278. <http://law.justia.com/codes/us/title15/15usc1261.html> [Accessed March 8, 2011]
47. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Inspector General, Public Liaison Report: EPA is Properly Addressing the Risks of Using Mercury in Rituals. Report No. 2006-P-00031. August 31, 2006. <http://www.epa.gov/oig/reports/2006/20060831-2006-P-00031.pdf> [Accessed March 8, 2011]
48. Zayas LH, Ozuah PO. Mercury use in espiritismo: a survey of botanicas. *Am J Public Health* 1996;86:111-112.
49. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Mercury Releases and Spills. www.epa.gov/mercury/spills/index.htm; updated November 9, 2010. [Accessed March 7, 2011]
50. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Task Force on Ritualistic Uses of Mercury Report. OSWER 9285.4-07 EPA/540-R-01-005. December 2002. <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/community/pdfs/mercury.pdf> [Accessed March 7, 2011].
51. Mercury Policy Project, c/o Arnold P Wendroff PhD, 544 Eighth Street, Brooklyn, NY 11215-4201; (718) 499-8336.